| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-----------------|------------------|
| | 10/627,192 | WAHLSTROM ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Meless N. Zewdu | 2617 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>Meless N. Zewdu</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Robert A. Madayag (Reg. No. 57,355). | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 24 May 2007 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: N/A | | |
| Claims discussed: 10, 15 and 23 | ٠. | • |
| Prior art documents discussed: N/A | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: | | |
| To correct problems related to antecedent-basis that resulted in what is shown in the attached examiner's amendment. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | • | |
| Meless Zewdu 5/25/07 | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |